

Bible Versions

Matt. 4:4

Isaiah 8:20

old doesn't mean more reliable

Does old mean good? most people equate "old" with "good"
↳ Not totally

(ONLY 2 STREAMS OF BIBLES)

Ancestor of Alexandrian family (LOST ORIGINALS)

LOST MANUSCRIPTS OF TRADITIONAL TEXT (ANTIOCH)

WESTERN FAMILY (LOST)

Very few manuscripts
MANY VERSES GONE

MANY VERSES ACCOUNTED FOR
Textus Receptus

"text P⁷⁵ & B" represent a revision of local text of Egypt which was enforced as the dominant text in Greek - the vatican ms or Codex B & Sinaitic or Codex Aleph
↳ found in 1844

Preserved the word

counteract the Reformation

Roman catholic
They suck

* vulgate 383 A.D.
* Jesuit Bible 1582
* Douay/catholic Bible
* NIV etc

Origen - freemason - textual critic, correcting many sacred manuscripts. Evidence suggests he changed them to agree with his own philosophy of mystical & allegorical ideas "Les Harret, 1982 "Which Bible can we Trust" pg. 16"
- He believes man was ^{divine}, therefore there ought to have been more than 1 divine man - Masonic teaching

From the Birth of Christ (in 400 A.D) Gnostic Gospels / other writings were written Paul mentions this in 2 cor. 2:17

WHERE PROBLEM REALLY STARTS:

In 331 A.D Constantine ordered the "ecumenical Bible" be written. Eusebius, a follower of Origen, was assigned this task. Eusebius rejected Christ as a deity & claimed Christ was a created being. This error is called Arian

The Argument is Not:

"KJV vs other versions" It is

"Received text vs other manuscripts"

the early Christians rejected those writings because they knew they were false, so they went away into secret libraries and eventually dug up & found as "ancient manuscripts" they landed in Rome & Alexandria where all esoteric studies flourished - found around 50 manuscripts

Arian Heresy - Roman Catholics claim anti-arian, that they fought wars & destroyed Arian people BUT NO EVIDENCE that the nations that were destroyed because they were "Arian" like the Ostrogoths (one of the 10 tribes out of 3 extinct) or Vandals, that they were refer to Daniel 7. actually Arian, because we have none of their writings.

BUT ALSO Roman Catholics

(gospel for outsiders)

Templar have exoteric / NON ARIAN

2 doctrines

ISOteric ARIAN = The Pope declared the Vulgate as an because infallible Bible & the Vulgate removes the (Insiders) deity of Christ

In 1481 AD - vatican manuscript discovered at Vatican Library - the manuscript REPEATEDLY casts aside the Deity of Christ. The date coincides w/ Eusebius writings during time of Constantine

IN 1844 AD: The Sinaitic Manuscript discovered at Mount Sinai in the monastery of St Catherine. It agrees closely w/ Vatican manuscript by minimizing Deity of Christ/Arian. These were probably 2 of the 50 written by Eusebius during Constantine

IN 1881 A.D. - Westcott & Hort Greek text introduced, which departed from the Textus Receptus & follows Vatican & Sinaitic corruptions the Jehovah witness Bible (New World Translation) was translated from the text of Westcott & Hort

good ← Tyndale used Received text (actual manuscripts) → went against the Pope

THIS BECAME A PROBLEM, SO JESUITS WERE CALLED IN

Jesuits said "we must undermine the Bible of the Protestants & destroy their teachings eventually WAR broke out because of this against England"

Dean Burgon fought for the red manuscripts &
writes, of course the nailed Jesus to the cross, we
expect them to do the same with His word.

- * The Alexandrian library was world famous for its occult documents *

Pope Paul IV 1599
→ The Bible was on the list
of prohibited books *

The Septuagint was made
for Alexandri Library in 285 BC
383-405 = Vulgate
was made

→ Apocrypha (hidden things)

Council of Trent 1546

→ anyone who did not receive these
books (found in Catholic church & old
Vulgate Latin edition)
shall be accursed
↳ "Council of Trent 4th Session"

Council of Trent (1545-1563) Vulgate Latin Bible

Dead Sea Scrolls

More Jesuits in England than
Italy - infiltrated English Church

Gnostic Gospels

~1945 near Nag Hammadi

BAD/False
doctrine

Egypt

Egypt is occult & these
were hidden from the
Christians who destroyed
the ancient pagan library

Ebionites Gnostics Thomasines Marcionites

all believe deity of man over
deity of Christ

became friends
at Cambridge }

where all new Bible versions are from Mort said "we will change bits of verses ever-so-slightly, and eventually you will read an entire new doctrine"

Westcott & Hort → rejected idea of infallibility of the bible, called it "immoral"

Westcott denied historical accuracy in Genesis 1-3

Hort praised Darwin & denied divinity of Christ

Worked more than 30 years on New Testament letters published by Son support how much

Mort loved Darwinism & called the Textus receptus Villianis & vile! → Blautsky followed these teachings (mason)

Member of Secret Society & responsible for the wording for the Oath of Silence

1 John 5:7 is removed

Latin Vulgate = Isoteric = satanic

The Textus Receptus is the old Byzantine text w/ hundreds of copies in agreement. Written in Koine Greek, of which hundreds of words cannot be translated in Classical Greek. The Early Church used Koine Greek versions & rejected Alexandrian Versions which were based on Origen (freemason & other gnostic revisions)

all
opposite
~~Judaes~~
~~christians~~
John 10:14
RSV KJV
2 Tim 3:16
ASV RSV
Luke 9:55-56
KJV NIV RSV
Luke 22:43-44
Missing in RSV

CHANGED VERSES NIU/KJV
& 2 Samuel 21:19 / Hosea 11:12
2 Samuel 23:5 LUKE 4:4 ^{RSV} _{NIV}

Matthew 5:44 KJV vs RSV

Matthew 18:11 → missing in RSV

Matthew 20:16 RSV vs KJV

Mark 2:17 NIU RSV KJV

Mark 10:21 RSV NIV KJV ASV

Mark 10:24 RSV NIU KJV

ACTS 28:29

Origen taught that Jesus was a created being who did not have eternal existence as a God

KJV vs NIV ~~Matt 20:22-23~~ Matt 20:22-23

Matt 25:13 RSV vs KJV vs NIV

Matt 24:36 NIU vs KJV

Mark 4:11 RSV vs KJV

↳ Sodom & Gomorrah don't believe in a judgement

Luke 2:14 RSV KJV

VERSES CHANGES CONT.

1 COR 10:28 RSV vs KJV

* Rev 22:14 RSV NIV ASV KJV

~~Acts 13:42~~ Acts 13:42 (sabbath)
KJV/Douay/NIV/RSV

ACTS 16:7 KJV DOUAY/NIV/RSV

1 cor 3:7 KJV DOUAY RSV NIV

Rev 14:5 RSV NIV KJV

Luke 4:8 KJV Douay (Jesuit bible)

RSV NIV

(
→ took out Satan
↳ used same expression w/peter
in Matt 16:23, Peter on the
Rock. destroy catholicism theology

the phrase "through his blood" is not found in either Jesuit OR American Revised versions.
Its omission can be traced to Origen (200 A.D) who denies that the body & soul of the Lord was offered as the price for our redemption

the power of God is denied (Peter 1:22 KJV RSV NIV)

→ The KJV fixes the great day of judgement as occurring at the time of His appearing, & His kingdom the Jesuit and Revised Bible versions place it in indefinite future

Job 19:26 Hebrews 7:21 KJV vs Douay vs RSV vs NIV

* When freemasons are initiated, they initiate under the order of Melchisedec (the same part of the verse that's missing) & a morman. So they "stand higher than Jesus"

MES 5:10 John 5:39 KJV RSV NIV wow! Bad one

Acts 16:7

John 2:11 KJV RSV NIV = miracles vs signs.

COL 1:14 → got rid of through his blood

the word "miracle" appears 32 times in the New Testament. It has been removed 23 times with the remaining 9, it has a very weak surrounding context.

MAT 18:2-3 KJV NIV RSV → change vs convert

MAT 24:3

LUKE 23:44-45 John 1:3-4 KJV RSV

Hebrews #11:3 KJV RSV (margin) NIV → Hort believed in evolution so he had to change it

John 9:4 Col 1:15-16 KJV RSV By Him vs IN Him making it seem possible to spiritualize creation

Hebrews 1:2 - BY him through him

~~the~~

Titus 2:13

Eph. 3:9 - got rid of Jesus Christ

by changing glorious to glory, they ~~were~~ removed the 2nd coming of Christ

2 Thess. 2:2 → is at hand - meaning soon to come

Hebrews 10:21

